

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 10/618,498 07/11/2003 252011-1520 Rich Huang 5392 **EXAMINER** 03/24/2006 47390 7590 THOMAS, KAYDEN, HOSTEMEYER & RISLEY LLP ADAMS, GREGORY W 100 GALLERIA PARKWAY **ART UNIT SUITE 1750** PAPER NUMBER ATLANTA, GA 30339 3652

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/618,498	HUANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregory W. Adams	3652
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Jan</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expensive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Jan</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This closed in accordance with the practice under Expensive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Jan</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This closed in accordance with the practice under Expensive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Jan</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This closed in accordance with the practice under Expensive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Jan</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This closed in accordance with the practice under Expensive to the practice under Expen	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro-	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-6,10,13-15,19,22 and 23 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,10,13-15,19,22 and 23 is/are rejection of the company of the claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject. 	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the lead of the lead of the lead of the lead in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) Notice of References Cited (P10-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	` '

Art Unit: 3652

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-6, 10, 13-15, 19 & 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fosnight (US 5,980,183) in view of Schurch et al. (US 3,698,3326) and Perlov et al. (US 6,283,692). Fosnight discloses a load port transfer device 34, 42 including a load port 50, a transport rail vertical portion 122b beside an overhead conveying system 118 wherein a vertical portion top portion is beside an overhead conveying system and extends from a load port 110 and a horizontal portion 130a-b is above a conveying system 118, a robot 116 (FIG. 10;C9/L33-39; C10/L1-10) which moves along a rail to transfer a wafer carrier between a load port 50 and an overhead conveying system 30, 270, flange 120 and does not disclose a roller and timing belt.

Schurch et al. disclose a transport rail vertical portion 2a, a transport rail horizontal portion 2 wherein a vertical portion top portion connects to a horizontal portion, and a robot 4, 5, 7 that moves along vertical and horizontal portions. Schurch further discloses a robot moving mechanism 4, 5, 7 having rollers disposed along a rail and a robot holding mechanism 19, 21 that maintains a carrier 20 horizontal wherein a holding mechanism first end is removably connected to a carrier 20 and holding mechanism second end is movably connected to a moving mechanism 4, 5, 7. Schurch

Art Unit: 3652

teaches that in the art of conveying over horizontal and vertical rails by movably connecting a holding mechanism to a moving mechanism it is advantageous to maintain a container 20 in vertical position. Cols. 1-2. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fosnight's vertical rail with a rail having a vertical portion connected to a horizontal portion and a robot having rollers, as per the teachings of Schurch, such that during conveying a carrier is maintained in vertical position.

Perlov discloses a timing belt to drive a robot both vertically and horizontally. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify a mechanism of Fosnight to include a timing belt, as per the teachings of Perlov, to drive a robot vertically and horizontally.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 27, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to claims 1, 13 & 22-23, Applicant argues that Schurch does not disclose a horizontal portion "located above the conveyor". Fosnight discloses a robot 116 that moves along horizontal and vertical portions of a rail wherein a horizontal portion is above a conveyor system (FIG. 4: 118).

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was

Art Unit: 3652

S 12

within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971). In this case, in replacing Fosnight's rail and robot Schurch recognizes that "in the event that the transport units must also travel over vertical or inclined track rails, then special measures have to be undertaken if a prerequisite of the conveying operation requires the load containers to be continuously transported in a vertical or near vertical position." C/L16-20.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory W. Adams whose telephone number is (571) 272-8101. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th., 8:00-5.

Art Unit: 3652

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GWA

EILEEN D. LILLIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600